

Norm one idempotent cb -multipliers with applications to the Fourier algebra in the cb -multiplier norm

Brian E. Forrest*

Volker Runde†

Abstract

For a locally compact group G , let $A(G)$ be its Fourier algebra, let $M_{cb}A(G)$ denote the completely bounded multipliers of $A(G)$, and let $A_{Mcb}(G)$ stand for the closure of $A(G)$ in $M_{cb}A(G)$. We characterize the norm one idempotents in $M_{cb}A(G)$: the indicator function of a set $E \subset G$ is a norm one idempotent in $M_{cb}A(G)$ if and only if E is a coset of an open subgroup of G . As applications, we describe the closed ideals of $A_{Mcb}(G)$ with an approximate identity bounded by 1, and we characterize those G for which $A_{Mcb}(G)$ is 1-amenable in the sense of B. E. Johnson. (We can even slightly relax the norm bounds.)

Keywords: amenability; bounded approximate identity; cb -multiplier norm; Fourier algebra; norm one idempotent.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 43A22; Secondary 20E05; 43A30, 46J10, 46J40, 46L07, 47L25.

Introduction

The Fourier algebra $A(G)$ and Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ of a locally compact group G were introduced by P. Eymard in [Eym]. If G is abelian with dual group $\hat{G}$, these algebras are isometrically isomorphic to $L^1(\hat{G})$, the group algebra of $\hat{G}$, and $M(\hat{G})$, the measure algebra of $\hat{G}$, via the Fourier and Fourier–Stieltjes transform, respectively. For abelian G , the idempotent elements in $B(G) \cong M(\hat{G})$ were described by P. J. Cohen ([Coh]): the indicator function χ_E of $E \subset G$ lies in $B(G)$ if and only if E belongs to the *coset ring* $\Omega(G)$ of G , i.e., the ring of sets generated by the cosets of the open subgroups of G . Later, B. Host showed that this characterization of the idempotents in $B(G)$ holds true for general locally compact groups G ([Hos]).

*Research supported by NSERC.

†Research supported by NSERC.

In [F–K–L–S], the Cohen–Host idempotent theorem was crucial in characterizing, for amenable G , those closed ideals of $A(G)$ that have a bounded approximate identity, and in [F–R] and [Run 2], the authors made use of it to characterize those G for which $A(G)$ is amenable in the sense of B. E. Johnson ([Joh 1]).

Besides the given norm on $A(G)$, there are other—from certain points of view even more natural—norms on $A(G)$. Recall that a *multiplier* of $A(G)$ is a function ϕ on G with $\phi A(G) \subset A(G)$. It is immediate from the closed graph theorem that each multiplier ϕ of $A(G)$ induces a bounded multiplication operator M_ϕ on $A(G)$; the operator norm on the multipliers turns them into a Banach algebra. Trivially, $A(G)$ embeds contractively into its multipliers, but the multiplier norm on $A(G)$ is equivalent to the given norm if and only if G is amenable ([Los]).

An even more natural norm on $A(G)$ arises if we take into account that $A(G)$, being the predual of a von Neumann algebra, has a canonical operator space structure. (Our default reference for operator spaces is [E–R].) This makes it possible to consider the *completely bounded multipliers*—*cb-multipliers* in short—of $A(G)$ as

$$M_{cb}A(G) := \{\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : M_\phi : A(G) \rightarrow A(G) \text{ is completely bounded}\}.$$

For $\phi \in M_{cb}A(G)$, we denote the completely bounded operator norm of M_ϕ by $\|\phi\|_{M_{cb}}$. It is not difficult to see that $B(G)$ embeds completely contractively into $M_{cb}A(G)$. However, equality holds if and only if G is amenable. In fact, G is amenable if and only if $\|\cdot\|_{M_{cb}}$ and the given norm on $A(G)$ are equivalent. (For a discussion of these facts with references to the original literature, see [Spr].)

Let $A_{M_{cb}}(G)$ denote the closure of $A(G)$ in $M_{cb}A(G)$ (see [For 2] for some properties of this algebra). For certain non-amenable G , the (completely contractive) Banach algebra $A_{M_{cb}}(G)$ is better behaved than $A(G)$. For instance, $A(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity if and only if G is amenable ([Lep]); in particular, if G is $\mathbb{F}_2$, the free group in two generators, then $A(G)$ is not operator amenable. On the other hand, $A_{M_{cb}}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has a bounded approximate identity ([dC–H]) and even is operator amenable ([F–R–S]) in the sense of [Rua].

Juxtaposing the main results of [F–R] and [F–R–S], the question arises immediately whether $A_{M_{cb}}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is amenable in the classical sense of [Joh 1], and it is this question that has motivated the present note. The proof of the main result of [F–R]—as well as its alternative proof in [Run 2]—rests on the Cohen–Host idempotent theorem. Attempting to emulate these proofs with $A_{M_{cb}}(G)$ in place of $A(G)$ leads to the problem whether certain idempotent functions can lie in $M_{cb}A(G)$. The main problem is that the Cohen–Host theorem is no longer true with $M_{cb}A(G)$ replacing $B(G)$: as M. Leinert showed ([Lei]), there are sets $E \subset \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\chi_E \in M_{cb}A(G) \setminus B(G)$.

The main result of this note is that, even though $M_{cb}(G)$ may have more idempotents than $B(G)$, both algebras do have the same *norm one* idempotents. With this result,

we can then characterize the closed ideals in $A_{Mcb}(G)$ having an approximate identity bounded by one as well as those G for which $A_{Mcb}(G)$ is 1-amenable. (Due to the useful fact that idempotent Schur multipliers of norm less than $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ must have norm one, we can even work with slightly relaxed norm bounds.)

1 The norm one idempotents of $M_{cb}A(G)$

For a locally compact group G , the functions in $B(G)$ can be described as coefficient functions of unitary representations of G (see [Eym]). A related characterization, which immediately yields the contractive inclusion $B(G) \subset M_{cb}A(G)$, is the following theorem due to J. Gilbert ([Gil]; for a more accessible proof, see [Jol]):

Gilbert's Theorem. *Let G be a locally compact group. Then the following are equivalent for $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$:*

- (i) $\phi \in M_{cb}A(G)$;
- (ii) *there are a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ and bounded, continuous functions $\xi, \eta: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ such that*

$$\phi(xy^{-1}) = \langle \xi(x), \eta(y) \rangle \quad (x, y \in G). \quad (1)$$

Moreover, if $\phi \in M_{cb}A(G)$ and ξ and η are Hilbert space valued, bounded, continuous functions on G satisfying (1) then

$$\|\phi\|_{Mcb} \leq \|\xi\|_{\infty} \|\eta\|_{\infty} \quad (2)$$

holds, and ξ and η can be chosen such that we have equality in (2).

The following extends [I-S, Theorem 2.1]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a locally compact group. Then the following are equivalent for $E \subset G$:*

- (i) $\chi_E \in B(G)$ with $\|\chi_E\|_{B(G)} = 1$;
- (ii) $\chi_E \in M_{cb}A(G)$ with $\|\chi_E\|_{Mcb} = 1$;
- (iii) E is a coset of an open subgroup.

Proof. (i) $\implies$ (ii) is clear, and (iii) $\implies$ (i) is the easy part of [I-S, Theorem 2.1].

(ii) $\implies$ (iii): Obviously, E is open. If $x \in E$, then $x^{-1}E$ contains e and satisfies $\|\chi_{x^{-1}E}\|_{Mcb} = 1$. Hence, we can suppose without loss of generality that $e \in E$: otherwise, replace E by $x^{-1}E$ for some $x \in E$. We shall show that E is a subgroup of G .

By Gilbert's Theorem, there are a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ and bounded, continuous functions $\xi, \eta: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ with $1 = \|\xi\|_\infty \|\eta\|_\infty$ such that

$$\chi_E(xy^{-1}) = \langle \xi(x), \eta(y) \rangle \quad (x, y \in G); \quad (3)$$

of course, we can suppose that both $\|\xi\|_\infty = \|\eta\|_\infty = 1$. In view of (3) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$xy^{-1} \in E \iff \langle \xi(x), \eta(y) \rangle = 1 \iff \xi(x) = \eta(y) \quad (x, y \in G).$$

As $e \in E$, this means, in particular, that $\xi(e) = \eta(e) =: \xi$, so that

$$E = \{x \in G : \xi(x) = \xi\} = \{y \in G : \eta(y^{-1}) = \xi\}.$$

Hence, if $x, y \in E$, we get

$$\chi_E(xy) = \langle \xi(x), \eta(y^{-1}) \rangle = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 1,$$

so that $xy \in E$. Consequently, E is a subsemigroup of G .

Let $x \in E$. Applying the preceding argument to $x^{-1}E$ instead of E , we see that x^{-1} is a subsemigroup of G ; since $e \in E$, we have, in particular, $x^{-1}x^{-1} \in x^{-1}E$, which means that $x^{-1} \in E$.

All in all, E is a subgroup of G . □

Remark. Let $MA(G)$ denote the algebra of all multipliers of $A(G)$. Defining $\|\phi\|_M$ as the operator norm of M_ϕ , we obtain a Banach algebra norm on $MA(G)$; obviously, $M_{cb}A(G)$ embeds contractively into $MA(G)$. Hence, every norm one idempotent in $M_{cb}A(G)$ is a norm one idempotent in $MA(G)$. By [Bož], $M_{cb}A(\mathbb{F}_2) \subsetneq MA(\mathbb{F}_2)$ holds, and—as M. Bożejko communicated to the second named author—, there are sets $E \subset \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\chi_E \in MA(\mathbb{F}_2) \setminus M_{cb}A(\mathbb{F}_2)$. We do not know if such E can be chosen such that $\|\chi_E\|_M = 1$.

By [B-F], the elements of $M_{cb}A(G)$ are precisely the so-called *Herz-Schur multipliers* of $A(G)$. For discrete G , the powerful theory of *Schur multipliers* (see [Pis] for an account) can thus be applied to the study of $M_{cb}A(G)$. By [Liv] (see also [K-P]), an idempotent Schur multiplier of $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{I}))$, where $\mathbb{I}$ is any index set, with norm greater than 1 must have norm at least $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$. Hence, we obtain:

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a group. Then the following are equivalent for $E \subset G$:*

- (i) $\chi_E \in B(G)$ with $\|\chi_E\|_{B(G)} = 1$;
- (ii) $\chi_E \in M_{cb}A(G)$ with $\|\chi_E\|_{M_{cb}} = 1$;
- (iii) $\chi_E \in M_{cb}A(G)$ with $\|\chi_E\|_{M_{cb}} < \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$;
- (iv) E is a coset of a subgroup.

2 Ideals of $A_{Mcb}(G)$ with approximate identities bounded by

$$C < \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$$

Let G be a locally compact group. In [F–K–L–S], the first named author with E. Kaniuth, A. T.-M. Lau, and N. Spronk characterized, for amenable G , those closed ideals of $A(G)$ that have bounded approximate identities in terms of their hulls. Previously, he had obtained a similar characterization of those closed ideals of $A(G)$ that have approximate identities bounded by one without any amenability hypothesis for G ([For 1, Proposition 3.12]).

In this section, we use Theorem 1.1 (or rather Corollary 1.2) to prove an analog of [For 1, Proposition 3.12] for $A_{Mcb}(G)$.

Let H be an open subgroup of G . It is well known that we can isometrically identify $A(H)$ with the closed ideal of $A(G)$ consisting of those functions whose support lies in H ; with a little extra effort, one sees that this identification is, in fact, a complete isometry ([F–W, Proposition 4.3]). From there, it is not difficult to prove the analogous statement for $A_{Mcb}(G)$: there is a canonical isometric isomorphism between $A_{Mcb}(H)$ and those functions in $A_{Mcb}(G)$ with support in H .

Given a closed ideal I of $A_{Mcb}(G)$, we define its *hull* to be

$$h(I) := \{x \in G : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\}.$$

If $E \subset G$ is closed, we set

$$I(E) := \{f \in A_{Mcb}(G) : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in E\},$$

which is a closed ideal of $A_{Mcb}(G)$ such that $h(I(E)) = E$.

Since translation by a group element is an isometric algebra automorphism of $A_{Mcb}(G)$, we have in view of the preceding discussion:

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a locally compact group, let H be an open subgroup of G , and let $x \in G$. Then we have an isometric algebra isomorphism between $A_{Mcb}(H)$ and $I(G \setminus xH)$.*

Our main result in this section is the following:

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a locally compact group. Then the following are equivalent for a closed ideal I of $A_{Mcb}(G)$ and $C \in \left[1, \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$:*

- (i) *I has an approximate identity bounded by C ;*
- (ii) *$I = I(G \setminus xH)$, where $x \in G$ and H is an open subgroup of G such that $A_{Mcb}(H)$ has an approximate identity bounded by C .*

Proof. (ii) $\implies$ (i) is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1.

(i) $\implies$ (ii): Let $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ be an approximate identity for I bounded by C . By [Spr, Corollary 6.3(i)], $M_{cb}A(G)$ embeds (completely) isometrically into $M_{cb}A(G_d)$, where G_d stands for the group G equipped with the discrete topology; we may thus view $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ as a bounded net in $M_{cb}A(G_d)$. It is easy to see that $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ converges to $\chi_{G \setminus h(I)}$ pointwise on G and thus in $\sigma(\ell^\infty(G), \ell^1(G))$. With the help of [dC–H, Lemma 1.9], we conclude that $\chi_{G \setminus h(I)} \in M_{cb}A(G_d)$ with $\|\chi_{G \setminus h(I)}\|_{M_{cb}} \leq C$; hence, $\chi_{G \setminus h(I)}$ is an idempotent in $M_{cb}A(G_d)$ of norm strictly less than $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$. By Corollary 1.2, this means that $G \setminus h(I)$ is of the form xH for $x \in G$ and a subgroup H of G and thus $h(I) = G \setminus xH$. Since $h(I)$ is closed, xH —and thus H —must be open. By [F–R–S, Proposition 2.2], the Banach algebra $A_{M_{cb}}(H)$ is Tauberian. By Proposition 2.1, this means that the set $G \setminus xH$ is of synthesis for $A_{M_{cb}}(G)$, so that $I = I(G \setminus xH)$. Finally, Proposition 2.1 again yields that $A_{M_{cb}}(H)$ has an approximate identity bounded by C . $\square$

In [C–H], locally compact groups G such that $A(G)$ has an approximate identity bounded in $\|\cdot\|_{M_{cb}}$ were called *weakly amenable*; this is equivalent to $A_{M_{cb}}(G)$ having an approximate identity ([For 2, Proposition 1]). For instance, $\mathbb{F}_2$ is weakly amenable [dC–H, Corollary 3.9] without being amenable. Both [dC–H, Corollary 3.9] and [F–R–S, Theorem 2.7] suggest that, for weakly amenable, but not amenable G , the Banach algebra $A_{M_{cb}}(G)$ is a more promising object of study than $A(G)$. In view of [For 1, Proposition 3.13] and Theorem 2.2, one is thus tempted to ask whether a suitable version of [F–K–L–S, Theorem 2.3] holds for $A_{M_{cb}}(G)$ and weakly amenable G : a closed ideal I of $A_{M_{cb}}(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity if and only if $I = I(E)$ for some closed $E \in \Omega(G_d)$.

We conclude this section with an example which shows that the characterization of the closed ideals of $A_{M_{cb}}(G)$ with a bounded approximate identity for weakly amenable, but not amenable G cannot be as elegant as for amenable G :

Example. Let $E \subset \mathbb{F}_2$ be such that $\chi_E \in M_{cb}A(\mathbb{F}_2)$, but $E \notin \Omega(\mathbb{F}_2)$: such E exists by [Lei]. Let $I = I(E)$. Then $I = (1 - \chi_E)A_{M_{cb}}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is completely complemented in $A_{M_{cb}}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Since $A_{M_{cb}}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is operator amenable by [F–R–S, Theorem 2.7], it follows from [Run 1, Theorem 2.3.7]—with operator space overtones added—that I has a bounded approximate identity even though $h(I) = E \notin \Omega(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

3 Amenability of $A_{M_{cb}}(G)$

Recall the definition of an amenable Banach algebra.

Given a Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, let $\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A}$ denote the Banach space tensor product of $\mathfrak{A}$ with itself. The Banach space $\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A}$ becomes a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule via

$$a \cdot (x \otimes y) := ax \otimes y \quad \text{and} \quad (x \otimes y) \cdot a := x \otimes ya \quad (a, x, y \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Let $\Delta : \mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ denote the bounded linear map induced by multiplication, i.e., $\Delta(a \otimes b) = ab$ for $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 3.1. A Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is called *C-amenable* with $C \geq 1$ if it has an *approximate diagonal* bounded by C , i.e., a net $(\mathbf{d}_\alpha)_\alpha$ in $\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A}$ bounded by C such that

$$a \cdot \mathbf{d}_\alpha - \mathbf{d}_\alpha \cdot a \rightarrow 0 \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A})$$

and

$$a \Delta \mathbf{d}_\alpha \rightarrow a \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}). \quad (4)$$

We say that $\mathfrak{A}$ is *amenable* if there is $C \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{A}$ is *C-amenable*.

Remark. Remark is not the original definition of an amenable Banach algebra from [Joh 1], but equivalent to it ([Joh 2]). The idea of considering bounds for approximate diagonals seems to originate in [Joh 3].

The question as to which locally compact groups G have an amenable Fourier algebra was first studied in depth in [Joh 3]. Until then, it was widely believed—probably with an eye on [Lep]—that these G were precisely the amenable ones. In [Joh 3], however, Johnson exhibited compact groups G —such as $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ —for which $A(G)$ is not amenable. Eventually, the authors showed that $A(G)$ is amenable if and only if G is almost abelian, i.e., has an abelian subgroup of finite index ([F–R, Theorem 2.3]; see also [Run 2]).

A crucial rôle in the proofs in both [F–R] and [Run 2] is played by the *anti-diagonal* of G ; it is defined as

$$\Gamma := \{(x, x^{-1}) : x \in G\}.$$

Its indicator function χ_Γ lies $B(G_d \times G_d)$ if and only if G is almost abelian ([Run 2, Proposition 3.2]). If G is locally compact such that $A(G)$ is amenable, then χ_Γ lies in $B(G_d \times G_d)$ ([Run 2, Lemma 3.1]), forcing G to be almost abelian.

For any $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define $\check{f} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by letting $\check{f}(x) := f(x^{-1})$. We denote the map assigning $\check{f}$ to f by ${}^\vee$; it is an isometry on $A(G)$, but completely bounded if and only if G is almost abelian ([F–R, Proposition 1.5]): this fact is crucial for characterizing those G with an amenable Fourier algebra as the almost abelian ones (see both [F–R] and [Run 2]).

Since ${}^\vee$ need not be completely bounded, it is not obvious that ${}^\vee$ is an isometry—or even well defined—on $A_{Mcb}(G)$. Nevertheless, these are true:

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a locally compact group. Then ${}^\vee$ is an isometry on $M_{cb}A(G)$ leaving $A_{Mcb}(G)$ invariant.*

Proof. Since ${}^\vee$ leaves $A(G)$ invariant, it is clear that it leaves $A_{Mcb}(G)$ invariant once we have established that it is isometric on $M_{cb}A(G)$.

Let $\phi \in M_{cb}A(G)$. By Gilbert's Theorem, there are a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ and bounded continuous $\xi, \eta: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ such that (1) holds and $\|\phi\|_{Mcb} = \|\xi\|_\infty \|\eta\|_\infty$. Since

$$\check{\phi}(xy^{-1}) = \phi(yx^{-1}) = \langle \xi(y), \eta(x) \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \overline{\langle \eta(x), \xi(y) \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}} = \langle \eta(x), \xi(y) \rangle_{\overline{\mathfrak{H}}} \quad (x, y \in G),$$

where $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}$ denotes the complex conjugate Hilbert space of $\mathfrak{H}$, it follows from Gilbert's Theorem that $\check{\phi} \in M_{cb}A(G)$ with $\|\check{\phi}\|_{Mcb} \leq \|\xi\|_\infty \|\eta\|_\infty = \|\phi\|_{Mcb}$. $\square$

With Lemma 3.2 at hand, we can prove a $A_{Mcb}(G)$ version of [Run 2, Lemma 3.1]:

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a locally compact group such that $A_{Mcb}(G)$ is C -amenable with $C \geq 1$. Then χ_Γ belongs to $M_{cb}A(G_d \times G_d)$ with $\|\chi_\Gamma\|_{Mcb} \leq C$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathbf{d}_\alpha)_\alpha$ be an approximate diagonal for $A_{Mcb}(G)$ bounded by C . By Lemma 3.2, the net $((\text{id} \otimes \vee)((\mathbf{d}_\alpha))_\alpha$ lies in $A_{Mcb}(G) \otimes^\gamma A_{Mcb}(G)$ and is also bounded by C . Obviously, $((\text{id} \otimes \vee)((\mathbf{d}_\alpha))_\alpha$ converges to χ_Γ in the topology of pointwise convergence. Using more or less the same line of reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we conclude that $\chi_\Gamma \in M_{cb}A(G_d \times G_d)$ with $\|\chi_\Gamma\|_{Mcb} \leq C$. $\square$

Remark. Let $A_M(G)$ be the closure of $A(G)$ in $MA(G)$. The question for which G the Banach algebra $A_M(G)$ is amenable seems to be more natural than the corresponding question for $A_{Mcb}(G)$, but is apparently much less tractable (due to the fact that much less is known about $MA(G)$ than about $M_{cb}A(G)$). For instance, we do not know whether or not an analog of Proposition 3.3 holds for $A_M(G)$.

Extending [Run 2, Theorem 3.5], we obtain eventually:

Theorem 3.4. *The following are equivalent for a locally compact group G :*

- (i) G is abelian;
- (ii) $A(G)$ is 1-amenable;
- (iii) $A_{Mcb}(G)$ is 1-amenable;
- (iv) $A_{Mcb}(G)$ is C -amenable with $C < \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$.

Proof. (i) $\iff$ (ii) is [Run 2, Theorem 3.5] and (ii) $\implies$ (iii) $\implies$ (iv) are trivial.

(iv) $\implies$ (i): If $A_{Mcb}(G)$ is C -amenable with $C < \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$, then $\chi_\Gamma \in M_{cb}A(G_d \times G_d)$ is an idempotent with $\|\chi_\Gamma\|_{Mcb} \leq C$ by Proposition 3.3. By Corollary 1.2, this means that Γ is a coset of a subgroup of $G \times G$ and thus a subgroup because $(e, e) \in \Gamma$. This is possible only if G is abelian. $\square$

Remarks. 1. We do not know if the equivalent conditions in Theorem 3.4 are also equivalent to $A_M(G)$ being 1-amenable.

2. In view of [F–R, Theorem 2.3] and Theorem 3.4, we believe that $A_{Mcb}(G)$ is amenable if and only if G is almost abelian. However, we have no proof in support of this belief. We do not even know whether or not $A_{Mcb}(G)$ is amenable for $G = \mathbb{F}_2$.
3. As a consequence of Theorem 3.4, we have for non-abelian G that

$$\inf\{C : A_{Mcb}(G) \text{ is } C\text{-amenable}\} \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$$

(and possibly infinite). This, of course, entails that

$$\inf\{C : A(G) \text{ is } C\text{-amenable}\} \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}},$$

which answers the question raised in the final remark of [Run 2].

We conclude the paper with an observation on amenable closed ideals of $A_{Mcb}(G)$:

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a locally compact group, let $C \in [1, \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}})$, and let I be a non-zero, C -amenable, closed ideal of $A_{Mcb}(G)$. Then I is of the form $I(G \setminus xH)$, where $x \in G$ and H is an open, abelian subgroup of G .*

Proof. Let I be a non-zero, C -amenable, closed ideal of $A_{Mcb}(G)$. From (4), it is immediate that I has an approximate identity bounded by C , and thus is of the form $I(G \setminus xH)$ for some open subgroup H of G . In view of Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.4, H has to be abelian. $\square$

Remarks. 1. The restriction on C in Corollary 3.5 cannot be dropped: by [Lei, (13) Bemerkung], there are infinite subsets E of $\mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\chi_E M_{cb}A(G) \cong \ell^\infty(E)$, where $\cong$ stands for a not necessarily isometric isomorphism of Banach algebras. As $A_{Mcb}(G)$ is Tauberian, it is then easy to see that the ideal $I = \chi_E A_{Mcb}(G) = I(G \setminus E)$ is isomorphic to the commutative C^* -algebra $c_0(E)$ and thus an amenable Banach algebra. Clearly, I is not of the form described in Corollary 3.5. (It can be shown that I is 4-amenable and has an approximate identity bounded by 2; see [B–F–Z].)

2. It is immediate from Corollary 3.5 that $A_{Mcb}(G)$ can have a non-zero, C -amenable, closed ideal if and only if G has an open, abelian subgroup. In particular, for connected G , such ideals exist only if G is abelian.

Addendum

After this paper had been submitted we were informed by Ana-Maria Stan that Theorem 1.1 had been obtained independently in

A.-M. POPA, On idempotents of completely bounded multipliers of Fourier algebra $A(G)$. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* (to appear).

References

- [Bož] M. BOŽEJKO, Remark on Herz–Schur multipliers on free groups. *Math. Ann.* **258** (1981/82), 11–15.
- [B–F] M. BOŽEJKO and G. FENDLER, Herz–Schur multipliers and completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a locally compact group. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (6)* **3** (1984), 297–302.
- [B–F–Z] M. BRANNAN, B. E. FORREST, and C. ZWARICH, Multipliers and complemented ideals in the Fourier algebra. Preprint.
- [Coh] P. J. COHEN, On a conjecture of Littlewood and idempotent measures. *Amer. J. Math.* **82** (1960), 191–212.
- [C–H] M. COWLING and U. HAAGERUP, Completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one. *Invent. Math.* **96** (1989), 507–549.
- [dC–H] J. DE CANNIÈRE and U. HAAGERUP, Multipliers of the Fourier algebras of some simple Lie groups and their discrete subgroups. *Amer. J. Math.* **107** (1985), 455–500.
- [E–R] E. G. EFFROS and Z.-J. RUAN, *Operator Spaces*. London Mathematical Society Monographs (New Series) **23**, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [Eym] P. EYMARD, L’algèbre de Fourier d’un groupe localement compact. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **92** (1964), 181–236.
- [For 1] B. E. FORREST, Amenability and bounded approximate identities in ideals of $A(G)$. *Illinois J. Math.* **34** (1990), 1–25.
- [For 2] B. E. FORREST, Completely bounded multipliers and ideals in $A(G)$ vanishing on closed subgroups. In: A. T.-M. LAU and V. RUNDE (ed.s), *Banach Algebras and Their Applications*, Contemporary Mathematics **363**, pp. 89–94. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004.
- [F–W] B. E. FORREST and P. J. WOOD, Cohomology and the operator space structure of the Fourier algebra and its second dual. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **50** (2001), 1217–1240.
- [F–K–L–S] B. E. FORREST, E. KANIUTH, A. T.-M. LAU, and N. SPRONK, Ideals with bounded approximate identities in Fourier algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **203** (2003), 286–304.
- [F–R] B. E. FORREST and V. RUNDE, Amenability and weak amenability of the Fourier algebra. *Math. Z.* **250** (2005), 731–744.
- [F–R–S] B. E. FORREST, V. RUNDE, N. SPRONK, Nico Operator amenability of the Fourier algebra in the cb-multiplier norm. *Canad. J. Math.* **59** (2007), 966–980.
- [Gil] J. E. GILBERT, L^p -convolution operators and tensor products of Banach spaces, I, II, and III. Unpublished manuscripts.
- [Hos] B. HOST, Le théorème des idempotents dans $B(G)$. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **114** (1986), 215–223.
- [I–S] M. ILIE and N. SPRONK, Completely bounded homomorphisms of the Fourier algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **225** (2005), 480–499.

- [Joh 1] B. E. JOHNSON, Cohomology in Banach algebras. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1972).
- [Joh 2] B. E. JOHNSON, Approximate diagonals and cohomology of certain annihilator Banach algebras. *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), 685–698.
- [Joh 3] B. E. JOHNSON, Non-amenability of the Fourier algebra of a compact group. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **50** (1994), 361–374.
- [Jol] P. JOLISSAINT, A characterization of completely bounded multipliers of Fourier algebras. *Colloq. Math.* **LXIII** (1992), 311–313.
- [K–P] A. KATAVOLOS and V. I. PAULSEN, On the ranges of bimodule projections. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **48** (2005), 97–111.
- [Lei] M. LEINERT, Abschätzung von Normen gewisser Matrizen und eine Anwendung. *Math. Ann.* **240** (1979), 13–19.
- [Lep] H. LEPTIN, Sur l’algèbre de Fourier d’un groupe localement compact. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A* **266** (1968), 1180–1182.
- [Liv] L. LIVSHITS, A note on 0–1 Schur multipliers. *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **222** (1995), 15–22.
- [Los] V. LOSERT, Properties of the Fourier algebra that are equivalent to amenability. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **92** (1984), 347–354.
- [Pis] G. PISIER, *Similarity Problems and Completely Bounded Maps*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1618**. Springer Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1996.
- [Rua] Z.-J. RUAN, The operator amenability of $A(G)$. *Amer. J. Math.* **117** (1995), 1449–1474.
- [Run 1] V. RUNDE, *Lectures on Amenability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1774**. Springer Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 2002.
- [Run 2] V. RUNDE, The amenability constant of the Fourier algebra. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006), 1473–1481.
- [Spr] N. SPRONK, Measurable Schur multipliers and completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **89** (2004), 161–192.

[November 17, 2008]

First author's address: Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario
Canada N2L 3G1

E-mail: `beforres@math.ualberta.ca`

Second author's address: Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta
Canada T6G 2G1

E-mail: `vrunde@ualberta.ca`

URL: `http://www.math.ualberta.ca/~runde/`